TO: Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. D	District Court Northern	District California on the P	atents or	
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
CV 11-00597 JCS	2/9/2011	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th F	loor, San Francisco CA 94102	
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT		
SANDISK CORPORATION		MOBILE MEDIA IDEAS	LLC.	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATEN OR TRADEMAR	EOLDER OF BALENI	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1 19 mits		***See Attach (***See Attach Complaint***	
2				
3		·		
4				
5				
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	Amendment	1 Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATEN OR TRADEMARI		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
	ve—entitled case, the follow	ving decision has been rendered or judgement issue	d:	
DECISION/JUDGEMENT				
OLEDY		(DAY DEDNAME OF DAY		
		(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE	
Richard W. Wieking		Gina Agustine-Rivas	February 10, 2011	

1 Tharan Gregory Lanier (State Bar No. 138784) tglanier@jonesday.com 2 Gregory L. Lippetz (State Bar No. 154228) glippetz@jonesday.com Daniel H. Mao (State Bar No. 221539) 3 dmao@jonesday.com 4 Henry L. Welch (State Bar No. 261663) hwelch@ionesdav.com 5 JONES DAY MINE CONTRACTOR OF MINEROLD 1755 Embarcadero Road natioment e altria and correct copy of the original on file in my office 6 Palo Alto, CA 94303 ATTEST. 650-739-3939 Telephone: RICHARD W. WII 7 Facsimile: 650-739-3900 Clerk, U.S. Dist Northern Di 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff SANDISK CORPORATION 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 SANDISK CORPORATION 14 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF PATENT NON-15 INFRINGEMENT, PATENT INVALIDITY, AND/OR PATENT MOBILE MEDIA IDEAS LLC, 16 UNENFORCEABILITY 17 Defendant. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 18 19 20 Plaintiff SanDisk Corporation ("SanDisk") hereby alleges as follows: 21 NATURE OF THE ACTION 22 1. This is an action for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity, and 23 unenforceability of United States Patent Nos. 6,427,078 (the "'078 Patent"); 5,812,954 (the 24 "'954 Patent"); 5,490,170 (the "'170 Patent"); 5,557,541 (the "'541 Patent"); 5,841,979 (the 25 "'979 Patent"); 5,914,941 (the "'941 Patent"); 6,002,390 (the "'390 Patent"); 6,125,143 (the 26 "143 Patent"); 6,385,386 (the "'386 Patent"); 6,393,430 (the "'430 Patent"); 6,441,828 (the 27 "828 Patent"); 6,446,080 (the "'080 Patent"); 6,549,942 (the "'942 Patent"); 6,975,732 (the 28

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

1	"'732 Patent"); 7,190,971 (the "'971 Patent"); 7,313,647 (the "'647 Patent"); 7,349,012 (the			
2	"'012 Patent"); 6,725,155 (the "'155 Patent"); 7,111,069 (the "'069 Patent"); (collectively, the			
3	"Mobile Media Patents").			
4	<u>PARTIES</u>			
5	2. Plaintiff SanDisk is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of			
6	Delaware, and has its headquarters and principal place of business in Milpitas, California.			
7	SanDisk is engaged in the business of, among other things, designing manufacturing, and selling			
8	digital media players.			
9	3. On information and belief, Defendant Mobile Media Ideas LLC ("Mobile Media			
10	is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Chevy Chase,			
11	Maryland.			
12	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
13	4. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 et. seq			
14	and under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court			
15	has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, et. seq., and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331,			
16	1338, and 2201-2202.			
17	5. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because a			
18	substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims at issue occurred in this District.			
19	6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Mobile Media by virtue of the business			
20	activities it conducts within the State of California and within this District, resulting in sufficien			
21	minimum contacts with this forum.			
22	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT			
23	7. This case is an Intellectual Property Action under Civil Local Rule 3-2(c) and,			
24	pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-5(b), shall be assigned on a district-wide basis.			
25	MOBILE MEDIA'S PATENTS			
26	8. U.S. Patent No. 6,427,078, which is entitled "Device for Personal			
27	Communications, Data Collection and Data Processing, and a Circuit Card," issued on June 30,			
28	2002.			

Actuator Device," issued on September 3, 2002.

for Portable Playback," issued on April 15, 2003.

25

26

27

20.

U.S. Patent No. 6,549,942, which is entitled "Enhanced Delivery of Audio Data

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- 21. U.S. Patent No. 6,975,732, which is entitled "Audio Signal Reproducing Apparatus," issued on December 13, 2005.
- U.S. Patent No. 7,190,971, which is entitled "Information Processing Apparatus 22. and Method, Information Processing System, and Transmission Medium," issued on March 13, 2007.
- 23. U.S. Patent No. 7,313,647, which is entitled "Storage and Reproduction Apparatus," issued on December 25, 2007.
- 24. U.S. Patent No. 7,349,012, which is entitled "Imaging Apparatus With Higher and Lower Resolution Converters and a Compression Unit to Compress Decreased Resolution Image Data,' issued on March 25, 2008.
- 25. U.S. Patent No. 6,725,155, which is entitled "Method and Apparatus for Information Processing, and Medium for Information Processing," issued on April 20, 2004.
- 26. U.S. Patent No. 7,111,069, which is entitled "Information Processing Apparatus and Method, and Program Storage Medium," issued on September 19, 2006.
- 27. The patents described in paragraphs 8-26 above are hereafter referred to as the Mobile Media Patents. Mobile Media asserts that it has an ownership interest in, including but not limited to, the right to license the Mobile Media Patents.

MOBILE MEDIA'S ASSERTION OF THE MOBILE MEDIA PATENTS

- 28. On April 16, 2010, Mobile Media contacted SanDisk to initiate patent license negotiations for several of SanDisk's digital media players. Mobile Media claimed that several of SanDisk's digital media players infringed the Mobile Media Patents, and offered a license to SanDisk. Mobile Media offered to meet with SanDisk at SanDisk's headquarters to discuss licensing the Mobile Media Patents. Since April 2010, Mobile Media has contacted SanDisk several times, accusing SanDisk and its customers of infringing the Mobile Media Patents and asking SanDisk to discuss licensing the Mobile Media Patents, and offering to meet with SanDisk at its headquarters...
- SanDisk has informed Mobile Media that it does not believe that it is required to 29. license the Mobile Media Patents.

COUNT I

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity, Unenforceability, and/or Non-infringement of the Mobile Media Patents)

- 30. SanDisk re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 29 as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. Mobile Media has stated that certain of SanDisk's products infringe the Mobile Media Patents and that SanDisk is required to license the Mobile Media Patents.
- 32. SanDisk contends that it is not required to license the Mobile Media Patents because SanDisk has not infringed and does not infringe, either directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the Mobile Media Patents.
- 33. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 34. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between SanDisk and Mobile Media as to whether the Mobile Media Patents are infringed by SanDisk. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that SanDisk may ascertain its rights regarding the Mobile Media Patents.
- 35. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between SanDisk and Mobile Media as to whether the Mobile Media Patents are valid. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that SanDisk may ascertain its rights regarding the Mobile Media Patents.
- 36. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between SanDisk and Mobile Media as to whether the Mobile Media Patents are enforceable. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that SanDisk may ascertain its rights regarding the Mobile Media Patents.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, SanDisk respectfully requests that judgment be entered in its favor and prays that the court grant the following relief:

- A. A declaration that the SanDisk's products have not infringed and do not infringe, either directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the Mobile Media Patents;
 - B. A declaration that the claims of the Mobile Media Patents are invalid;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- C. A declaration that the Mobile Media Patents are unenforceable;
- D. For an award of all damages, including special damages, provable at trial;
- E. An order enjoining Mobile Media, its officers, directors, agents, counsel, servants, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from charging infringement of, or instituting any action for infringement of the Mobile Media Patents against SanDisk and/or any of SanDisk's customers;
- F. An order declaring that SanDisk is the prevailing party and that this is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award SanDisk its reasonable attorneys fees, expenses, and costs in this action; and
 - G. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b) and Northern District of California Local Rule 3-6(a), Plaintiff respectfully requests a jury trial on all issues triable thereby.

Dated: February 9, 2011.

JONES DAY

By:

Gregory L. Lippetz

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SANDISK CORPORATION

SVI-89500v1